



## **Texas Department of Insurance**

### **Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • [www.tdi.texas.gov](http://www.tdi.texas.gov)

## **MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **Requestor Name and Address**

ORTHOTEXAS PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS  
4780 NORTH JOSEY LANE  
CARROLLTON TX 75010

#### **Respondent Name**

SAFETY NATIONAL CASUALTY CORP

#### **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 19

#### **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-12-1326-01

#### **MFDR Date Received**

DECEMBER 30, 2011

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "originally denied for documentation then appeal denied for global to another procedure-both denials are wrong."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$95.92

### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The carrier asserts that it has paid according to applicable fee guidelines and/or reduced to fair and reasonable. Further, the carrier challenges whether the charges are consistent with applicable fee guidelines."

**Response Submitted by:** Flahive, Ogden & Latson

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 21, 2011	CPT Code 99213	\$95.92	\$0.00

### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 titled *Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services*, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputes service.
3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

#### **Explanation of benefits**

- 16-Claim/Service lacks information which is needed for adjudication. Additional information is supplied using remittance advice remarks code.
- 97-The benefit for this service is included in the allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.

## **Issues**

1. Does the submitted documentation support billed service.
2. Is the disputed service bundled into another procedure billed on this date? Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

## **Findings**

1. According to the explanation of benefits, the respondent initially denied reimbursement for CPT code 99213 based upon reason code “

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5), states “‘Medicare payment policies’ when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare.”

CPT code 99213 is defined as “Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: An expanded problem focused history; An expanded problem focused examination; Medical decision making of low complexity. Counseling and coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of low to moderate severity. Typically, 15 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family.”

A review of the submitted report finds that the requestor did not meet the documentation requirements for billing CPT code 99213. Therefore, the respondent's denial based upon reason code “16” is supported.

2. The respondent also raised the denial reason of “97” on the reconsideration explanation of benefits.

On the disputed date of service the requestor billed for nerve studies with CPT codes 95861, 95904, 95900 and 95934.

The submitted report is a summary of the nerve studies findings. It does not support a separate evaluation and management service; therefore, the respondent's denial based upon reason code “97” is supported. As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

## **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

## ***ORDER***

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

## **Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

8/23/2013  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

### ***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**